



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/657,650

09/08/2003

Larry J. Pacey

47079-00134USPT

2836

70243

7590

03/18/2008

NIXON PEABODY LLP
161 N CLARK ST.
48TH FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213

EXAMINER

HOEL, MATTHEW D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/18/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/657,650	Applicant(s) PACEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew D. Hoel	Art Unit 3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner. (3) Wayne Tang, Jeremie Moll, applicants' reps..
 (2) John Hotaling, primary examiner. (4) Joel Jaffe, WMS.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Travis.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed amending the claim language to show how the simulation rule data interact with the physical object data to produce the random result. Travis had both types of data, but they did not appear to interact in the manner suggested by the applicants to produce a random result. The search will be updated and the examiner will consult with examiners in random number generation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John M Hotaling II/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required